

AMENDMENTS TO THE DRAWINGS

The drawings were objected to under 37 C.F.R. 1.83(a) as not showing every feature specified in the claims.

Applicant has amended FIG. 1 to include access barriers 120, which prevent access between the various virtual servers as specified in new paragraph [0026.5]. These amendments find support in claims 4, 12, and 20 of the instant application as originally filed. No new matter has been added.

Applicant notes that the Examiner objected to the drawings for not showing “dynamically assigning addresses” and “including transfer count.” Applicant can find no reference in the claims of the instant application to these limitations. Applicant requests the Examiner to state specifically where these limitations are listed.

Attachment: replacement sheet including amended FIG. 1 and original FIG. 2.

REMARKS

In the Official Action mailed on **12 May 2005**, the Examiner reviewed claims 1-2, 5-10, 13-18, and 21-24. The drawings were objected to under 37 C.F.R. 1.83(a) as not showing every feature specified in the claims. The specification was objected to under 35 U.S.C. §112, first paragraph as failing to adequately teach how to make and use the invention. Claims 1, 9, and 17 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, first paragraph as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. Claims 1-2, 5-10, 13-18, and 21-24 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Huang et al (USPub 2003/0195950, hereinafter “Huang”) and Barry et al (USPN 6,615,258, hereinafter “Barry”). Claims 5-7, 13-15, and 21-23 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103 (a) as being unpatentable over Huang and Barry and further in view of Microsoft (*Microsoft Management Console: Overview*, hereinafter “MMC”).

Objections and rejections under 35 U.S.C. §112, first paragraph

The specification was objected to under 35 U.S.C. §112, first paragraph as failing to adequately teach how to make and use the invention. Claims 1, 9, and 17 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, first paragraph as failing to comply with the enablement requirement.

Applicant has amended FIG. 1 and the specification to disclose the necessary apparatus to perform the claimed method. These amendments find support in claims 4, 12, and 20 of the instant application as originally filed. No new matter has been added.

Rejections under 35 U.S.C. §103(a)

Independent claims 1, 9, and 17 were rejected as being unpatentable over Huang and Barry. Applicant respectfully points out that the combined system of Huang and Barry teaches preventing access to a web server **from an external web**

browser (see Barry, FIG. 4, col. 4, lines 29-54 and col. 11 line 65 to col. 12, line 20).

In contrast, the present invention prevents access to a first virtual server on a server computing device from a second virtual server **on the same computing device** (see FIG. 1, and paragraphs [0010]-[0011], [0024]-[0025], and [0026.5] of the instant application. This is not the same as preventing access to a web server from an external web browser. The present invention provides security on a second virtual server, which prevents a rogue application on a first virtual server on the same computing device from accessing the second virtual server. There is nothing within Huang or Barry, either explicit or implicit, which suggests providing security on a second virtual server, which prevents a rogue application on a first virtual server on the same computing device from accessing the second virtual server.

Hence, Applicant respectfully submits that independent claims 1, 9, and 17 as presently amended are in condition for allowance. Applicant also submits that claims 2 and 5-8, which depend upon claim 1, claims 10 and 13-16, which depend upon claim 9, and claims 18 and 21-24, which depend upon claim 17, are for the same reasons in condition for allowance and for reasons of the unique combinations recited in such claims.

CONCLUSION

It is submitted that the present application is presently in form for allowance. Such action is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

By


Edward J. Grundler
Registration No. 47,615

Date: 26 May 2005

Edward J. Grundler
PARK, VAUGHAN & FLEMING LLP
2820 Fifth Street
Davis, CA 95616-7759
Tel: (530) 759-1663
FAX: (530) 759-1665